

Homework Set 4

Instructor: Anthony Man–Cho So

Due: November 28, 2023

SOLVE THE FOLLOWING PROBLEMS.

Problem 1 (25pts).

- (a) **(10pts)**. Show that \mathcal{S}_+^n is self-dual; i.e., $\mathcal{S}_+^n = (\mathcal{S}_+^n)^*$.
- (b) **(15pts)**. Let $Q \in \mathcal{S}_+^n$ be given. Show that there exist a matrix P , vectors q, u , and scalars α, β such that $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies $x^T Q x \leq t$ if and only if

$$\left\| P \begin{bmatrix} t \\ x \end{bmatrix} + q \right\|_2 \leq \alpha t + u^T x + \beta.$$

(Remark: The above result shows that the constraint $x^T Q x \leq t$ can be formulated as an SOC constraint.)

Problem 2 (15pts). Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$; $P \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times n}$; $c, u \in \mathbb{R}^n$; $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$; $q \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$; and $v \in \mathbb{R}$ be given. Consider the following optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && c^T x \\ & \text{subject to} && Ax = b, \\ & && \|Px + q\|_2 \leq u^T x + v, \\ & && x \geq \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

By formulating Problem (1) as a conic LP in standard dual form, derive the dual of Problem (1). Show all your work. (Hint: You may find Propositions 2(b) and 3 in Handout 5 useful.)

For Problems 3 and 4, we consider the following setting. Let \mathcal{E} be a finite-dimensional Euclidean space equipped with an inner product \bullet . We define a norm on \mathcal{E} by $\|x\| = (x \bullet x)^{1/2}$ and the interior of a set $S \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ by

$$\text{int}(S) = \{x \in \mathcal{E} : \text{there exists an } \epsilon > 0 \text{ such that } x + \epsilon d \in S \text{ for any } d \in \mathcal{E} \text{ with } \|d\| \leq 1\}.$$

Furthermore, let $K \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ be a non-empty closed cone and consider the dual cone associated with K ; i.e., $K^* = \{w \in \mathcal{E} : x \bullet w \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in K\}$.

Problem 3 (35pts). The goal of this problem is to show that

$$\text{int}(K^*) = \{w \in \mathcal{E} : x \bullet w > 0 \text{ for all } x \in K \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}\}.$$

Note that if $K = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, then $K^* = \mathcal{E} = \text{int}(K^*)$, and the result holds automatically. Thus, let us assume that $K \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

- (a) **(10pts)**. Suppose that $w \in \text{int}(K^*)$. Show that $x \bullet w > 0$ for all $x \in K \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

- (b) **(10pts)**. Show that the inner product \bullet satisfies the generalized Cauchy–Schwarz inequality; i.e., for any $u, v \in \mathcal{E}$, we have

$$|u \bullet v| \leq \|u\| \cdot \|v\|.$$

(Hint: Observe that $(u - v) \bullet (u - v) = \|u - v\|^2 \geq 0$.)

- (c) **(15pts)**. Suppose that $w \in \mathcal{E}$ satisfies $x \bullet w > 0$ for all $x \in K \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Set

$$\epsilon = \inf_{x \in K: \|x\|=1} x \bullet w.$$

Show that $\epsilon > 0$. Hence, conclude that $w \in \text{int}(K^*)$.

Problem 4 (25pts). Let $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathcal{E}$ be given. The goal of this problem is to show that the Slater condition

$$\text{there exists a } \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{ such that } \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{y}_i a_i \in \text{int}(K^*) \quad (\mathcal{S})$$

implies the closedness of the set

$$C = \{(a_1 \bullet x, \dots, a_m \bullet x) \in \mathbb{R}^m : x \in K\}.$$

Towards that end, let $\{b_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ be a sequence in C such that $b_j \rightarrow b$. We need to show that $b \in C$. To begin, we note that since $b_j \in C$, there exists an $x_j \in K$ such that $b_j = (a_1 \bullet x_j, \dots, a_m \bullet x_j)$. Our goal then is to show that there exists an $x \in K$ satisfying $b = (a_1 \bullet x, \dots, a_m \bullet x)$.

- (a) **(10pts)**. Show that there exists a constant $M_1 > 0$ satisfying $\|b_j\| \leq M_1$ for all $j \geq 1$. Hence, show that there exists a constant $M_2 > 0$ satisfying $|\bar{y}^T b_j| \leq M_2$ for all $j \geq 1$.
- (b) **(15pts)**. Observe that

$$\bar{y}^T b_j = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \bar{y}_i a_i \right) \bullet x_j.$$

Using the Slater condition (\mathcal{S}) and the results in Problem 3 and Problem 4(a), show that there exists a constant $M_3 > 0$ satisfying $\|x_j\| \leq M_3$ for all $j \geq 1$.

Now, the proof can be completed as follows. The result in (b) implies that the sequence $\{x_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ is bounded. Hence, there exists a subsequence x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, \dots that converges to some x (see p.3 of Handout C; this result is known as the *Bolzano–Weierstrass theorem*). Since $x_{j_\ell} \in K$ for all $\ell \geq 1$ and K is closed, we have $x \in K$. Moreover, observe that

$$b = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} b_{j_\ell} = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} (a_1 \bullet x_{j_\ell}, \dots, a_m \bullet x_{j_\ell}) = (a_1 \bullet x, \dots, a_m \bullet x).$$

It follows that $b \in C$, as desired.